Committee: United Nations Human Rights Council (UNHRC)
Topic: Should Arms Exporters Be Held Accountable for Human Rights Violations?
Written by: Celina Habash
Position: Chair

Purpose of this committee:

The Human Rights Council (UNHRC) is an intergovernmental body within the United Nations (UN) that focuses on protecting human rights worldwide. It investigates human rights violations, addresses humanitarian crises, and encourages countries to follow human rights standards. This committee works to prevent human rights violations, and holds governments accountable for their actions.

Introduction:

The international arms trade plays a huge and important role in national security, international relations, as well as military defense. Countries export weapons such as fire arms, military vehicles, and other advanced military equipment, to other governments in other countries for defense and security purposes. However, these weapons can be used to commit serious human rights violations, including attacks against civilians, unlawful killings, torture, and the destruction of infrastructure.

This raises an important international question: ***should countries that sell weapons be held responsible when those weapons are later used to violate human rights?***

Arms-exporting countries may argue that they cannot control how another government uses weapons after buying them. Others believe that exporters have a responsibility to investigate the human rights records of buyers and prevent those weapons reaching armed groups or certain governments who may misuse them.

The issue becomes particularly complicated when countries continue supplying weapons despite reports of civilian casualties, human rights abuses, or violations of international humanitarian law. Establishing responsibility requires examining what the exporting country knew, whether it ignored warning signs, and whether it took reasonable steps to prevent the misuse of its weapons.

Current Situation:

The global arms trade continues to raise serious concerns regarding human rights and international security. International transfers of major arms remain concentrated among a relatively small number of exporting countries, making their export decisions particularly influential.

The international community has established rules to regulate arms transfers. One of the most important agreements is the Arms Trade Treaty (ATT), which entered into force in 2014. It requires participating countries to assess whether proposed arms exports could contribute to serious violations of international humanitarian or human rights law.

Despite these regulations, weapons continue to reach conflict zones where civilians are exposed to serious risks. Some governments face criticism for supplying weapons to countries involved in ongoing conflicts or accused of committing human rights violations.

However, responsibility is not always straightforward. A weapon may pass through several countries, be transferred to another government, or fall into the hands of unauthorized armed groups. This makes it difficult to identify who should be held accountable.

Countries Involved:

United States:

- The United States is one of the world's largest arms exporters. The USA supplies military equipment to many countries, including NATO allies and governments in the Middle East. The United States maintains arms-transfer regulations and conducts assessments of potential human rights risks, but critics debate whether these safeguards are consistently sufficient.

Russia:

- Russia is a major international arms supplier, exporting military equipment to countries across several regions. Its arms exports and military involvement in international conflicts have raised questions about the responsibility of weapons suppliers and the consequences of supplying equipment to governments involved in armed conflicts.

China:

- China is an important arms exporter, supplying military equipment to countries in Asia, Africa, and the Middle East. Its stance highlights debates about transparency in arms transfers, export controls, and the responsibility of countries supplying weapons to regions experiencing instability.

United Kingdom:

- The United Kingdom is a major arms exporter and has established licensing regulations that require assessments of potential human rights violations. Its arms-export decisions, especially concerning conflict-affected regions, have generated ongoing discussions about whether governments should suspend exports when there is a clear risk of weapons being misused.

France:

- France is a major supplier of military aircraft, naval equipment, and other defence systems. Its arms exports have raised questions about how exporting governments should evaluate the human rights records of purchasing countries and monitor the use of exported equipment.

Possible Solutions:

Strengthen International Arms-Export Regulations:

Governments should strengthen national laws and international agreements regulating weapons exports. Exporting countries should conduct detailed assessments of the human rights records of purchasing governments before approving transfers.

Introduce Stronger Risk Assessments:

Countries should evaluate whether weapons could be used to commit serious human rights violations or violations of international humanitarian law. Exports should be denied when there is an overriding risk of serious violations, in accordance with applicable international obligations.

Improve Monitoring of Exported Weapons:

Exporting countries should establish monitoring systems to track military equipment after it has been delivered. This can include end-user certificates, regular reporting, and investigations into unauthorized transfers or misuse.

Increase Transparency Between Countries:

Governments should share information about international arms transfers, suspicious shipments, and violations of arms embargoes. Greater transparency can reduce illegal weapons trafficking and make it easier to identify countries that fail to follow international regulations.

Organizations Involved in Regulating the Arms Trade:

United Nations Human Rights Council (UNHRC):

- Promotes human rights worldwide, investigates alleged violations, and encourages governments to respect international human rights standards.

United Nations Office for Disarmament Affairs (UNODA):

- Works to promote disarmament and international security, including efforts to prevent the illegal trade and misuse of conventional weapons.

Arms Trade Treaty (ATT):

- An international treaty that establishes standards for regulating international arms transfers and preventing weapons from contributing to serious violations of international humanitarian and human rights law.

Glossary:

Arms export: The sale or transfer of weapons and military equipment from one country to another.

Arms Trade Treaty (ATT): An international agreement regulating the international trade of conventional weapons.

Accountability: The responsibility of individuals, organizations, or governments to explain their actions and face consequences when they violate laws.

Human Rights Violations: Actions that violate internationally recognized rights for people, like unlawful killings, torture, and discrimination.

Export Licensing: A government authorization required before certain weapons or military equipment can be exported.

War Crimes: Serious violations of international humanitarian laws that may result in individual criminal responsibility.

Arms Diversion: The unauthorized transfer of weapons from their intended recipient to another person, country, or armed group.

References:

These links can be used for more research:

https://www.ohchr.org/en/hr-bodies/hrc/about-council

https://thearmstradetreaty.org/

https://disarmament.unoda.org/

https://www.sipri.org/databases/armstransfers

https://main.un.org/securitycouncil/en/sanctions/information

https://www.hrw.org/topic/arms

Written by: Celina Habash (Chair)

Good luck!! :)