Committee: International Monetary Fund
Topic: The Ethical Implications of the IMF and its conditionality on Economic Equality
Written by: Fatmah Yousef

---

1. Introduction:

The international Monetary Fund advises and monitors the economic policies of its member countries with the objective of supporting the stability of the international monetary system.

IMF financial assistance is frequently accompanied by a system known as conditionality, which requires countries that borrow from the IMF to implement specific economic and financial changes in exchange for loans. It is one of the most controversial features of the IMF's assistance. This includes Reducing public spending, changing tax laws, eliminating subsidies, privatising state-owned businesses, reorganising public institutions and enacting labour or monetary reforms.

Although these measures are intended to restore economic stability and ensure loan repayment, critics argue that conditionality affects developing countries and limits country leaders' ability to prioritize essential public services such as healthcare and education.

It raises a very important question; ***Should countries have to sacrifice the well-being of their citizens to receive financial assistance?***

2. Historical Background

The International Monetary Fund was created in 1944 following the Bretton Woods Conference, where 44 representatives from different countries worked together to establish a stable postwar order for international economic operation following the Second World War. It officially began operating in 1945.

However, during the 1980s, many developing countries faced serious debt crises. The IMF began introducing structural adjustment programs, which required countries to make major economic changes in exchange for financial assistance. These changes often included reducing government spending, reduction of subsidies, currency devaluation, spending cuts, etc.

Over time, these policies faced growing public criticism. A study titled *World Protests 2006-2013,* published by Columbia University's Initiative for Policy Dialogue and Friedrich Ebert Siftung, examined 843 protests across 87 countries. It found that the IMF was targeted in 168 protests, representing 20% of all protests studied. Many protests opposed austerity measures and reduced wages.

These protests show how the IMF's influence has become a global concern, with people questioning whether its economic policies prioritize financial stability over the wellbeing of its citizens.

3. Economic Equality

One of the major concerns is that IMF conditions may widen the gap between the rich and the poor. Low income families are affected the most when governments cut budgets on healthcare, education and social support.

The *Tax Justice Network* argues that these conditions place an unfair burden on poor and vulnerable families, making it harder for them to escape poverty.

Another major concern is the influence the IMF has over the economic decisions of countries that borrow from them. The country leaders may feel pressured to accept certain conditions because they are urgently in need of financial assistance.

The *Bretton Woods Project* discusses how IMF conditions can limit a country's free will to decide their own economic policies. This raises questions about whether developing countries should have to give up control over their economic policies to receive financial aid.

4. Conclusion

To conclude, the main issue is finding a compromise between helping countries financially, and also protecting the civilians who live in them. Although the IMF plays a very big part in supporting struggling countries, some of its conditions can make life harder for its people, especially those who are already vulnerable. Governments need to take responsibility for how they manage the money borrowed from the IMF.

5. Possible solutions:

- How can the IMF provide financial assistance without increasing poverty and inequality?
- Should the IMF be responsible for the social and economical consequences of its loan conditions?
- How can the IMF balance financial stability with protecting human rights?
- Should governments be required to choose between financial stability and the stability of its people?

Sources:

- https://www.brettonwoodsproject.org/2026/04/the-imf-review-of-conditionality-putting-the-imfs-money-where-its-research-is/
- https://taxjustice.net/2018/07/06/the-damage-of-international-monetary-fund-conditionality-call-for-urgent-rethink/
- https://www.imf.org/en/about/factsheets/sheets/2022/imf-accountability
- https://www.hoover.org/research/case-against-international-monetary-fund

